# Practical BSE Calculations with BerkeleyGW

#### Diana Y. Qiu (Adapted from presentation by Felipe Jornada)

#### June 4, 2020 Electronic Structure Workshop, UC Merced

Yale



#### **Optical Absorption Spectrum**





Photon energy (eV)

M. Rohlfing, S. G. Louie, PRB 62, 8 (2000).
 D. Qiu, F. H. da Jornada, S. G. Louie, PRL 111, 216805 (2013).
 K. F. Mak, F. H. da Jornada, et al., PRL 112, 207401 (2014).

#### **Optical Absorption Spectrum**





Photon energy (eV)

M. Rohlfing, S. G. Louie, PRB 62, 8 (2000).
 D. Qiu, F. H. da Jornada, S. G. Louie, PRL 111, 216805 (2013).
 K. F. Mak, F. H. da Jornada, et al., PRL 112, 207401 (2014).

# Outline

#1 – Theoretical and methodological overview

#2 – Typical BSE workflow in BerkeleyGW

#3 – Issues unique to the BSE code

#### **Theory Overview – Excitons**



### **Theory Overview: GW-BSE**

• Bethe-Salpeter Equation  $\rightarrow$  Effective Hamiltonian:

 $H^{\text{BSE}}\Psi_S(\mathbf{r}_e,\mathbf{r}_h) = \Omega_S\Psi_S(\mathbf{r}_e,\mathbf{r}_h)$ 

 $H_{vc\mathbf{k},v'c'\mathbf{k}'}^{\text{BSE}} = (E_{c\mathbf{k}} - E_{v\mathbf{k}})\delta_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}'} + K_{vc\mathbf{k},v'c'\mathbf{k}'}$ 

Interaction Kernel





Absorption

$$\epsilon_2 \propto \sum_S |\langle 0 | \mathbf{v} | S \rangle|^2 \delta(\omega - \Omega_S)$$

Rohlfing and Louie, PRB 62, 4947 (2000). Deslippe et al, Comput. Phys. Commun. 183, 1269 (2012).

Methodological Overview: BerkeleyGW Interpolation Scheme

Challenge: compute quasiparticle corrections and kernel matrix elements on a VERY FINE K-GRID! Eg: 300x300 k-grid for MoS<sub>2</sub>

#### Methodological Overview: BerkeleyGW Interpolation Scheme

• Expensive to compute kernel matrix elements  $\langle vc \mathbf{k}_{fi} | K | v'c' \mathbf{k}'_{fi} \rangle$ 

Strategy: 
$$\langle vc\mathbf{k}_{co}|K|v'c'\mathbf{k}_{co}'\rangle \longrightarrow \langle vc\mathbf{k}_{fi}|K|v'c'\mathbf{k}_{fi}'\rangle$$

- BerkeleyGW: projection interpolation
  - Explicitly generate coarse- and fine-grid WFNs
  - Expand fine-grid WFNs in term of coarse-grid WFNs.
  - No need to perform Wannier interpolation, etc.
  - Captures band crossing, etc.

Methodological Overview: BerkeleyGW Interpolation Scheme

- Step 0: Obtain WFNs on a coarse and fine grid.
- Step 1: Expand fine WFNs in terms of coarse WFNs

$$u_{n\mathbf{k}_{\mathrm{fi}}} = \sum_{n'} C_{n,n'}^{\mathbf{k}_{\mathrm{co}}} u_{n'\mathbf{k}_{\mathrm{co}}} \quad C_{n,n'}^{\mathbf{k}_{\mathrm{co}}} = \int d\mathbf{r} \, u_{n\mathbf{k}_{\mathrm{fi}}}(\mathbf{r}) u_{n'\mathbf{k}_{\mathrm{co}}}^{*}(\mathbf{r})$$

Step 2: Interpolate QP energies (assume  $\Sigma$  is diagonal in  $(n\mathbf{k})$ ):

$$E_n^{\rm QP}(\mathbf{k}_{\rm fi}) = E_n^{\rm MF}(\mathbf{k}_{\rm fi}) + \left\langle \sum_{n'} \left| C_{n,n'}^{\mathbf{k}_{\rm co}} \right|^2 \left( E_{n'}^{\rm QP}(\mathbf{k}_{\rm co}) - E_{n'}^{\rm MF}(\mathbf{k}_{\rm co}) \right) \right\rangle_{\mathbf{k}_{\rm co}}$$

Step 3: Interpolate BSE kernel matrix elements (head+wings+body)

$$\langle vc\mathbf{\underline{k}_{fi}}|K|v'c'\mathbf{\underline{k}'_{fi}}\rangle = \sum_{n_1,n_2,n_3,n_4} C^{\mathbf{k}_{co}}_{c,n_1} C^{*\mathbf{k}_{co}}_{v,n_2} C^{*\mathbf{k}'_{co}}_{c',n_3} C^{\mathbf{k}'_{co}}_{v',n_4} \langle n_2 n_1 \underline{\mathbf{k}_{co}}|K|n_4 n_3 \underline{\mathbf{k}'_{co}}\rangle$$

#### BERKELEYGW INTERPOLATION SCHEME

In practice: trading bands for k-points



- How to get a good interpolation?
  - Include a large number of bands from the coarse grid and start from a coarse grid that is not too coarse.

#### BERKELEYGW INTERPOLATION SCHEME

Did I include enough bands?

$$\operatorname{Error}(\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{k}_{\mathrm{fi}}) = 1 - \sum_{n'} \left| C_{n,n'}^{\mathbf{k}_{\mathrm{fi}}} \right|^2$$



Calculated

🔵 Interpolated

- Detail of "completion relation":
  - > dvmat\_norm.dat
  - b dcmat\_norm.dat
- absorption.out / inteqp.out

### Outline

#1 – Theoretical and methodological overview



#2 – Typical BSE workflow in BerkeleyGW

#3 – Issues unique to the BSE code

## BERKELEYGW WORKFLOW

Step 0: Calculate QP-corrected band structure on a coarse grid

epsmat.h5,  $\{E_c\}_{co}$ ,  $\{E_v\}_{co}$ 

**Step 1:** Calculate BSE kernel on the same coarse grid  $[K]_{co}$ 

absorption.x

kernel.x

**Step 2:** Interpolate to a <u>fine k-grid</u> and build BSE Hamiltonian...  $[H]_{co} \Rightarrow [H]_{fi}$ 

... and diagonalize BSE Hamiltonian

evals  $[H]_{\mathrm{fi}} \Rightarrow \varepsilon_2$ 

# 1. KERNEL

<u>kernel.</u>

# Step 1: Calculate BSE kernel on the same coarse grid $[K]_{co}$

- Time consuming: Computes  $(n_v n_c n_k)^2$  matrix elements
- Uses a coarse-grid WFN\_co



Use same WFN co as in Sigma (WFN inner)

# 1. KERNEL

#### Sample kernel.inp

number\_val\_bands <?>
number\_cond\_bands <?>

<?>\_symmetries\_coarse\_grid
screening\_<?> |

You'll typically want to use symmetries here, so put: use\_symmetries\_coarse\_grid  $\{u_{n'\mathbf{k}_{co}}\}$ 

Bands counted wrt FE:

- vbm, vbm-1, ...
- cbm, cbm+1, ...

Remember to calculate Kernel on more bands because of the interpolation!

(# of bands in Sigma can't be less than this number!)

### 2. ABSORPTION

absorption.x

**Step 2:** Interpolate to a <u>fine k-grid</u> and build BSE Hamiltonian...  $[H]_{co} \Rightarrow [H]_{fi}$ ... and diagonalize BSE Hamiltonian evals  $[H]_{fi} \Rightarrow \varepsilon_2$ 

Absorption needs same coarse WFN\_co from Kernel

$$\varepsilon_{2}(-\mathbf{q},\omega) \propto \sum_{S} |\langle 0|\hat{\boldsymbol{v}}|S\rangle|^{2} \delta[\omega - \Omega_{S}] \qquad \langle 0|\hat{\boldsymbol{v}}|S\rangle = \frac{\Omega_{S}}{q} \sum_{\nu c \mathbf{k}} A_{\nu c \mathbf{k}}^{S} \langle \nu \mathbf{k} + \mathbf{q} | e^{-i\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{r}} | c \mathbf{k} \rangle$$

- Typically q is 10<sup>-3</sup>
- We need two fine WFN files:
  - WFN\_fi: for conduction states  $|c\mathbf{k}\rangle$
  - WFNq\_fi: for q-shifted valence states  $|v\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{q}\rangle$

Direction of **q** = polarization of light= difference WFNq and in WFN

#### 2. ABSORPTION – RANDOMLY SHIFTED K-GRIDS

WFN\_fi, no k-shift







# 2. ABSORPTION

#### Sample absorption.inp



Unshifted grid (WFN\_co)

 Both randomly shifted grids (WFN\_fi and WFNq\_fi)

 Broaden each delta function.

Interpolate eqp\_co.dat

#### OUTLINE

**Practical BSE Calculations** 

#1 – Theoretical and methodological overview

#2 – Typical BSE workflow in BerkeleyGW



## ISSUES UNIQUE TO THE BSE CODE

- 1. Convergence
- 2. Analyzing results
- 3. Other features

# 1. CONVERGENCE

- There are 4 convergence parameters in a typical BSE calculation:
  - # of <u>k-points</u> in the <u>fine</u> grid
  - # of <u>bands</u> in the <u>fine</u> grid
  - # of <u>k-points</u> in the <u>coarse</u> grid
  - # of <u>bands</u> in the <u>coarse</u> grid
- Some rules of thumb:
  - # of <u>bands</u> in <u>fine</u> grid: energy window + binding energy (~ EASY)
  - # of <u>bands</u> in the <u>coarse</u> grid: estimate from **inteqp.x**
  - # of <u>k-points</u> in <u>coarse</u> grid ~ sigma.x (FOR BULK SYSTEMS ONLY)

# 2. ANALYZING EXCITONS

- Optical spectrum :  $\epsilon_2(\omega), \ \epsilon_1(\omega)$ 
  - absorption\_noeh.dat:GW-RPA
  - absorption\_eh.dat:GW-BSE
- Eigenvalues of the BSE equation :
  - eigenvalues.dat: useful to see if there are degeneracies, splitting, etc.
- Where the exciton is coming from:
  - summarize\_eigenvectors.x
  - Need to set the flag write\_eigenvectors in absorption.inp

# 3. OTHER FEATURES

- Some other features:
  - Haydock/Lanczos methods: iterative solution for the absorption spectrum. No evecs can be obtained.
  - Unrestricted interpolation: improves the quality of the interpolation by allowing mixtures of conduction and valence states. Important for metals!
  - Momentum operator: allows you not to use WFNq\_fi file (but neglects non-local part of PP and )

- Approximations:
  - Tamm-Dancoff approximation (optional)
  - Static screening

#### SUMMARY

- BSE needs to be solved on fine k-grid
- BGW interp.: projection of fine WFNs onto coarse WFNs
  - Need to include more bands in kernel calculation
- WFNs:
  - Kernel: WFN\_co
  - Absorption: WFN\_co, WFN\_fi, WFNq\_fi
  - WFN\_co: unshifted
  - WFN\_fi: random k-shift
  - WFNq\_fi: random k-shift + q-shift (dir. = pol. of light)
- 4 convergence parameters: {bands, kpts} x {co, fi}



Yesterday



# HANDS-ON SESSION 2 – ABSORPTION SPECTRUM OF SILICON



Goals:

- Reproduce plots on the left
- Partial convergence study wrt kpoint sampling and number of bands

M. Rohlfing, S. G. Louie, PRB 62, 8 (2000).

#### **EXTRA SLIDES**

#### BERKELEYGW INTERPOLATION SCHEME

inteqp.out from yesterday's session:

```
Max. error in norm of transformation coefficients (1 - \sum_co |d_fi,co|
^2):
- For valence states: 2.887E-01
- For conduction states: 1.000E+00
WARNING: there are fine/coarse transformation coefficients with 2-norm < 95%.
To improve convergence, you might want to consider:
- using the "unrestricted_transformation" flag in the input file
- including more bands from the coarse WFN_co file
(number_*_bands_coarse)
- using a coarse WFN_co file with a denser k-mesh
```

- Error = 100% for conduction states?!
- Where is it coming from?

#### BERKELEYGW INTERPOLATION SCHEME

dcmat\_norm.dat from yesterday's session:

		Norm of dcc matrices : Spins =							1
		k	k-point		i	k_co	С	dist	dcc ^2
_ (	0.500	 ,	0.500 ,	0.500	)	13	1	0.000	1.000000
(	0.367	,	0.000 ,	0.367	)	18	9	0.144	0.878516
(	0.367	,	0.000 ,	0.367	)	18	10	0.144	0.000000
(	0.378	,	0.000 ,	0.378	)	58	1	0.150	0.988656

Band crossing. Look at inteqp.inp:

number_val_bands_coarse 4	
number_val_bands_fine 4	
number_cond_bands_coarse 10	
number_cond_bands_fine 10	

- #fi bands = # co bands! This is a bad idea is general!!
- But we didn't plot the 10<sup>th</sup> cond. band, so it's alright